



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,610	11/24/2003	Jan Alfons Albert Bouwen	Q78296	1688
23373	7590	10/10/2008	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			PASIA, REDENTOR M	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.				
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/718,610	BOUWEN, JAN ALFONS ALBERT
	Examiner	Art Unit
	REDENTOR M. PASIA	2616

All Participants:

(1) REDENTOR M. PASIA.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Aung Moe (SPE).

(2) SCOTT H. DAVISON.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 October 2008

Time: 3:00 pm (EST)

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-9 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

Kung et al. (US 2003/0133558)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Pasia informed Applicant's Representative, Mr. Scott Davison (Reg. 52,800), that with respect to the arguments presented in Applicant's Remarks (dated Sept. 19, 2008), the arguments have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn and prosecution is re-opened..

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Aung S. Moe/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416
 /Redentor M Pasia/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2616

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

